

Erratum

Erratum to “Topical 100% Serum Eye Drops for Treating Corneal Epithelial Defect after Ocular Surgery”

**Kaivalin Lekhanont,¹ Passara Jongkhajornpong,¹
 Lulin Choubtum,² and Varintorn Chuckpaiwong¹**

¹ Department of Ophthalmology, Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Rama VI Road, Rajathevi, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

² Ramathibodi Research Center, Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Rama VI Road, Rajathevi, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

Correspondence should be addressed to Kaivalin Lekhanont; lekhanont@yahoo.com

Received 25 September 2013; Accepted 20 November 2013; Published 2 February 2014

Copyright © 2014 Kaivalin Lekhanont et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

TABLE 1: Participant baseline characteristics.

Characteristics	Number (%)
Total patient numbers	181 (100)
Age (years)	
Mean \pm SD	62.39 \pm 14.28
Range	34–89
Sex	
Male	89 (49.17)
Female	92 (50.83)
Laterality	
Right eye	85 (46.96)
Left eye	96 (53.04)
Operations	
(i) Penetrating keratoplasty	99 (54.70)
(ii) Anterior lamellar keratoplasty	40 (22.10)
(iii) Pars plana vitrectomy with corneal epithelial debridement	16 (8.84)
(iv) Combined PK and cataract surgery	12 (6.63)
(v) Phototherapeutic keratectomy	6 (3.31)
(vi) Trabeculectomy with mitomycin C	3 (1.66)
(vii) Boston keratoprosthesis	3 (1.66)
(viii) Excision of ocular surface squamous neoplasia	2 (1.10)
Diabetes mellitus	45 (24.86)

TABLE 2: Probability of achieving complete corneal epithelialization during followup.

Time (day)	Probability of the events (%)	SE	95% CI
7	80.68	4.21	71.86–88.14
14	93.18	2.69	86.66–97.21
21	94.55	2.47	88.27–98.07
28	96.36	2.22	90.08–99.14
35	—	—	—
42	—	—	—
49	—	—	—
56	—	—	—
63	—	—	—

SE: standard error; CI: confidence interval.

erroneous calculations in the SE column. They are corrected as shown in the current version of Table 2.

The authors would like to make the following changes.
 (1) In the original Table 1, operations part, there were some erroneous calculations of the percentage of each operation. They are corrected as shown in the current version of Table 1. (2) In the original Table 2, there were some